

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Xiaoyu Li et al.  
Serial No.: 10/803,337  
Filed: March 18, 2004  
Confirmation No.: 5568  
Group Art Unit: 3682  
Examiner: Boes, Terence  
For: ONE-TOUCH MANUAL TELESCOPE AND TILT STEERING  
COLUMN

Attorney Docket No.: DP-310459

**Mail Stop AMENDMENT**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Dear Sir:

An Examiner Interview was held between Applicant's attorney, Mr. David Burns, and Examiners, Mr Terence Boes and Mr. Richard Ridley, on March 13, 2007, 10:00 a.m..

During this interview, it was mutually decided that:

1. Base Claim 3 of the present application is not anticipated by the Li et al. US 2005/0199087 because the guide (44) of the Li '087 reference is connected rigidly to the upper steering column jacket (14) via the bolt identified as wedge member (A) by the Examiner and thus the guide (44) is not connected rigidly to the lower steering column jacket (12) of Li '087. At best, there is an adjustable relationship between the lower steering column jacket (12) and guide (44). That is, the guide (44) moves with

respect to the lower steering column jacket (12) and thereby can not be connected rigidly to it. The guide (48) of Claim 1 of the present application is connected rigidly to the lower jacket (12).

2. Dependent Claim 4 of the present application is not anticipated by the Li et al. US 2005/0199087 if the term "pivotally associated with" is changed to "pivotally connected to." This change is incorporated presently by this amendment;
3. Claim 8 of the present application is not anticipated by the Li et al. US 2005/0199087 reference because the alleged element (B) of Li '087 is not a separate part from the guide (44). Therefore, Li '087 does not have an engaging element;
4. Base Claim 10 of the present application is not anticipated by the Li et al. US 2005/0199087 if the term "pivotally associated with" is changed to "pivotally connected to." Moreover, it was agreed that the alleged linking member of Li is not "monolithic." This change is incorporated presently by this amendment; and
5. The rejection of Claims 15 and 19 is an error and are actually conditionally allowed for Claim 15 and allowed for Claim 19.

**Respectfully submitted,**

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.**

April 20, 2007  
Date

/DAVID A. BURNS/  
**David A. Burns, Registration No. 46,238**  
Howard and Howard Attorneys, P.C.  
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101  
39400 Woodward Avenue  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151  
(248) 723-0487